IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

MONDAY, THE 28TH MAY 2007 / 7TH JYAISHTA 1929

W.P.(C).No.13485 of 2007(S)

PETITIONER:-

BENHUR JOSEPH MANAYANI, AGED 29,
S/O.JOSEPH.M. MANAYANI, ADVOVATE,
MONASTRY ROAD, COCHIN-18.

BY ADV. SRI.JOHNSON MANAYANI

RESPONDENTS:-

1. KOCHI REFINERIES LTD., MARADU,
   KUNDANNUR, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.

2. THE PROJECT MANAGER, SPM/KRL,
   (PIPE INSTALLATIONS), KUNDANNUR, MARADU.

3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
   COLLECTORATE, ERNAKULAM.

4. THE COCHIN CORPORATION,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, CORPORATION COMPLEX,
   ERNAKULAM.

R1 & R2 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.A.M.SHAFFIQUE.
R3 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.MEERA.
R4 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.S.RAMESH BABU.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF PETITION DATED 16.4.2007 FILED BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.1.

EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF PETITION DATED 16.4.2007, FILED BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.4.

H.L.Dattu,C.J. & K.T.Sankaran,J.

W.P.(C).No.13485 of 2007

Dated, this the 28th day of May, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu,C.J.

There are two prayers in the writ petition - first one is to direct the respondents to complete the road work at Mahakavi 'G' Road and the second one is to direct the respondents to take measures to prevent water logging at Mahakavi 'G' Road, Monastry Road and Karikkamuri Cross Road.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has filed an affidavit before this Court. In that, he has stated that respondents 1 and 2 have taken effective steps to complete the road work from Mahakavi 'G' Road. But, he has further stated that having completed the road work, the respondents have to start laying down the drainage pipes, etc.

3. As we have already stated, there were two prayers in the writ petition. They were concerned with the completion of road work. Since that work has been completed by the respondents even according to the petitioner, the reliefs sought for in the petition does not survive and the writ petition requires to be disposed of as having become infructuous. If for any reason the petitioner has any further grievance, he can first approach the respondents and thereafter come before this Court by way of appropriate petition. Ordered accordingly. Consequently, I.A.No.6440 of 2007 shall stand dismissed.

H.L.Dattu
Chief Justice

K.T.Sankaran
Judge

vku/-